Certification of Consistency

Certification ID: C20172

Step 1 - Agency Profile

A. GOVERNMENT AGENCY:	☐ State Agency ☑ Local Agency
Government Agency:	Reclamation District No. 2028: Bacon Island
Primary Contact:	David Forkel, Chairman
Address:	343 East Main Street, Suite 815
City, State, Zip:	Stockton, CA 95202
Telephone/Fax:	209 943-5551 / 209 943-0251
E-mail Address:	anderson@mbkengineers.com
B. GOVERNMENT AGENCY RO	PLE IN COVERED ACTION: Will Carry Out Will Approve Will Fund

Step 2 - Covered Action Profile

СНІ	S RECOMMENDED THAT YOU E ECKLIST TO DETERMINE IF THE F GULATORY POLICIES						-			
A.	COVERED ACTION PROFILE:			Plan		Progran	n	\checkmark	Project	
	Title: Reclamation District No.	2028: Bacon Isl	and L	evee Rehab	oilitation Proj	ect				
В.	PROPONENT CARRYING OUT	COVERED ACTIO	N (If	different tha	an State or Lo	cal Agen	cy):			
	Proponent Name:	Reclamation [Distric	t No. 2028:	Bacon Island	, David				
	Address:	343 East Mair	Stre	et, Suite 815	5					
	City, State, Zip:	Stockton, CA 9	95202	2						
C.	AT LEAST 10 DAYS PRIOR TO T not subject to open meeting la 54950 et seq.]) with regard to their office, and mail to all per Any state or local public agenc actions. (Note: Any public comments re	ws (Bagley-Kee its certification, sons requesting y that is subject	ne Op must notic to op	pen Meeting post for pul e. pen meeting	g Act [Gov. Co blic review ar laws with reg	ode sec 12 nd commo	1120 et se ent, their s certifica	eq.] or the draft ce	ne Brown A rtification o	ct [Gov. Code sec on their website and i ged to take those
	If applicable, did you comply t	with this require	emen	t?	☐ YES	V N	NO 🗆	N/A		
D.	COVERED ACTION SUMMARY	:(Project Descrip	otion	from approv	ved CEQA doo	cument m	nay be us	ed here)		
	Project Description: 4.7 miles of cross-section criteria, which resubstandard due to settlement to support the minimum HMP increases potential for overtop	quires levee cro t of the levee fro standard and ar	wn el om co n all-w	levations to insolidation veather acce	be one foot a of the underl ess road requ	above the lying peat	e 100-yea t foundat	r flood e ion. The	levation. Balevee lacks	acon Island's levee is the required stability
	The Project includes landside a crown and stabilizing the slope crown to create an all-weather Project will compensate for fur- section standard by incorporate	e by placing fill m roadway. Wate ture settlement	nateri erside of the	al on the leve work involved peat found	vee toe, slope ves armoring dation as well	e, and cro newly pla as sea le	own. Aggr aced fill a evel rise a	egate ballong the	se will be p waterside o re a sustain	placed on the levee of the levee. The able HMP cross-
	Findings: An Initial Study has beffects. Based on the Initial Stuthe environment once mitigatifollowing findings:	ıdy, Reclamatior	า Dist	rict No. 202	8 has determ	ined that	t the Proje	ect will r	ot have an	y significant effects o
	• The Project will result in no i transportation and traffic, and	utilities/service	syste	ems.			•			
	 The Project will result in less greenhouse gas emissions, and Mitigation is included in the resources, cultural resources, l The Project will result in net 	l noise. Project design to nazards/hazardo	o redu ous m	uce potentia aterials, and	ally significant	impacts	to less-th			
E.	STATUS IN THE CEQA PROCES			OD has beer	n filed					

F. STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER: 2017012062 (if applicable)

G. COVERED ACTION ESTIMATED TIME LINE:

ANTICIPATED START DATE: (If available) 5/1/2018 ANTICIPATED END DATE: (If available) 10/1/2019

- H. COVERED ACTION TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST: \$10,000,000.00
- I. IF A CERTIFICATION OF CONSISTENCY FOR THIS COVERED ACTION WAS PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED, LIST DSC REFERENCE NUMBER ASSIGNED TO THAT CERTIFICATION FORM:
- J. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS: <u>Bacon-Old River IS-MND Final 051117 clean.pdf</u>, <u>Bacon Reveg Habitat Plan Draft 101817 clean.pdf</u>, <u>Bacon Island Reveg Plan Appendices.pdf</u>

Step 3 - Consistency with the Delta Plan

DELTA PLAN CHAPTER 2

G P1 / 23 CCR SECTION 5002 - Detailed Findings to Establish Consistency with the Delta Plan.

In General: (23 CCR SECTION 5002 (a), (b), (1)) This regulatory policy specifies what must be addressed in a certification of consistency filed by a State or local public agency with regard to any covered action.

This regulatory policy only applies after a "proposed action" has been determined by a State or local public agency to be a covered action because it is covered by one or more of the regulatory policies listed under Delta Plan Chapters 3, 4, 5, and 7 of this form. Inconsistency with this policy may be the basis for an appeal.

Covered actions, in order to be consistent with the Delta Plan, must be consistent with this regulatory policy and with each of the regulatory policies listed under Delta Plan Chapters 3, 4, 5 and 7 of this form implicated by the covered action. The Delta Stewardship Council acknowledges that in some cases, based upon the nature of the covered action, full consistency with all relevant regulatory policies may not be feasible. In those cases, the agency that files the certification of consistency may nevertheless determine that the covered action is consistent with the Delta Plan because, on whole, that action is consistent with the coequal goals. That determination must include a clear identification of areas where consistency with relevant regulatory policies is not feasible, an explanation of the reasons why it is not feasible, and an explanation of how the covered action nevertheless, on whole, is consistent with the coequal goals. That determination is subject to review by the Delta Stewardship Council on appeal;

S	pecific	requirements	of this	regulatory	policy:
•	PCCIIIC	1 Cquil Cilicits	OI CIII3	i chaiatoi y	policy.

Mitigation Measures (23 CCR SECTION 5002 (b), (2))

The covered action is not exempt from CEQA, and includes applicable feasible mitigation measures identified in the Delta Plan's Program Environmental Impact Report, (unless the measure(s) are within the exclusive jurisdiction of an agency other than the agency

a.	that files the certification of consistency), or substitute mitigation measures that the agency that files the certification of consistency finds are equally or more effective.						
	Is the covered action consistent with this portion of the regulatory policy?						
	\checkmark	YES	□ NO		N/A		
		Answer Justification:	The project is consistent with all applicable District has an IS/MND and MMRP, attache	_		. The	
b.	The	covered action docume	CCR SECTION 5002 (b), (3)) nts use of best available science as relevant t			·	
			stent with this portion of the regulatory polic —	cy? Appendix 1A is r		icy.	
	\checkmark	YES	□ NO		N/A		
		Answer Justification:	The District is using the best available scien project. Engineering and construction techn Materials used will comply with specification levees. Materials placed will be compacted Compaction testing will provide confirmation specifications. Native grasses will be planted Delta Levees Habitat Program Guide to Plan Levee Slope (CDFW and DWR, unpublished)	niques to rehabilitate ons that are generally according to the geo on that materials are d, monitored, and m nting Native Grasslan	e the levee will follow industry st y accepted for rehabilitation of D otechnical engineer's recommen e placed in accordance with the naintained in general accordance	andards. Oelta dations. with the	
c.	The	covered action involves	CCR SECTION 5002 (b), (4)) ecosystem restoration or water managemententation of adaptive management	t, and includes adeq	uate provisions, appropriate to i	ts scope,	
	Is th	e covered action consis	stent with this portion of the regulatory police	cy? <u>Appendix 1B</u> is re	eferenced in this regulatory poli	cy.	
	\checkmark	YES	□ NO		N/A		
		Answer Justification:	The District has adopted a management pla Bacon Reveg Habitat Plan Draft 101817	• •	con Island Reveg Plan_Appendic	es.pdf,	

ELTA PLAN CHAPTER 3									
WR P1 / 23 CCR SECTION 5003 - Reduce Reliance on the Delta through Improved Regional Water Self-Reliance									
Is the covered action consistent with this regulatory policy?									
YES		NO	\checkmark	N/A					
Answer Justification:	The covered action do Delta.	es not involve water that is exported	d from	n, transferred through, or used in the					
WR P2 / 23 CCR SECTION 5	004 - Transparency in W	ater Contracting							
Is the covered action consi	stent with this regulator	y policy? <u>Appendix 2A</u> and <u>Append</u>	ix 2B	are referenced in this regulatory policy.					
YES		NO	\checkmark	N/A					
Answer Justification:		es not involve entering into or amer line 03-09 and/or 03-10 (each dated	_	water supply or water transfer contracts 3, 2003), (Appendix 2A).					
ELTA PLAN CHAPTER 4									
Conservation Measure: (23	CCR SECTION 5002 (c))								
A conservation measure proposed to be implemented pursuant to a natural community conservation plan or a habitat conservation plan that was: (1) Developed by a local government in the Delta; and (2) Approved and permitted by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife prior to May 16, 2013 is deemed to be consistent with the regulatory policies listed under Delta Plan Chapter 4 of this form (i.e. sections 5005 through 5009) if the certification of consistency filed with regard to the conservation measure includes a statement confirming the nature of the conservation measure from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife.									
Is a statement confirming t	the nature of the conser	vation measure from the California	Depa	rtment of Fish and Wildlife available?					
☐ YES		NO	1	N/A					
Answer Justification:	The covered action do plan.	es not include a natural community	conse	ervation plan or a habitat conservation					
ER P1 / 23 CCR SECTION 50	05 - Delta Flow Objectiv	es							
Is the covered action consi	stent with this regulator	v nolicy?							
YES		NO	$\overline{\checkmark}$	N/A					
Answer Justification:	The covered action do	es not significantly affect flow in the							
ER P2 / 23 CCR SECTION 50		<u> </u>							
Is the covered action consi	stant with this regulator	y nolicy? Annendiy 3 and Annendiy	4 are	referenced in this regulatory policy.					
YES		NO	<u>-</u> uic	N/A					
Answer Justification:	The covered action do	es not include habitat restoration.		,					
ER P3 / 23 CCR SECTION 50									
			_						
<u></u>	tent with this regulator			referenced in this regulatory policy.					
YES	Ц	NO	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	N/A					
Answer Justification:		outside of the priority habitat restor		areas depicted in Appendix 5.					
EK P4 / 23 CCK SECTION 50	<u>vs</u> - Expand Floodplains	and Riparian Habitats in Levee Proj	ects						
Is the covered action consis	stent with this regulator	ry policy? <u>Appendix 8</u> is referenced	in this	regulatory policy.					
☐ YES		NO	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	N/A					

Answer Justification:

DELTA PLAN CHAPTER 7

The covered action does not construct new levees or substantially rehabilitate or reconstruct existing levees.

ER P	ER P5 / 23 CCR SECTION 5009 - Avoid Introductions of and Habitat for Invasive Nonnative Species						
Is the covered action consistent with this regulatory policy?							
$\overline{\checkmark}$	YES		NO		N/A		
	Answer Justification:	require removing ruded donax) will be remove will be disposed of out rehabilitation is completed well as to provide habilitation well as to provide habilitation. Planting at erosion during the well on levee slope steepned may be to incorporate by means of hydrosee where the seeds are signed where the seeds are signed watering if dry periods appropriate, selectivel maintain and monitor preliminarily identified totaling 4.3 ac, where cuttings, or seed. These without conflicting with barrier preventing farm landowner will maintate agreement with the Camanagement agreement These habitat enhanced requirements to integrit vegetation features the	eral weeds and non d from both the lar side the Project for ete, native grasses it at for wildlife and onducted in general and Habitat on a Rear methods approved the end of construct months and naturess, seeding may be the seeds into the ding with mulch an prayed with the mulch and prayed with the mulch and prayed with the mulch and prayed with grantly includes a develop, mowing by using herbicides at the plantings for the flour levee-compascrub-shrub and right areas are outsided the levee maintenant ming activities from in these proposed alifornia Department areas are incompasted in the planting activities from a proposed alifornia Department areas are incompasted in the planting activities from a proposed alifornia Department areas are incompasted in the planting activities from a proposed alifornia Department areas are incompasted in the provide habitat is are not intended a sare not intend	e-native annual plants. Indiside and waterside of otprint on the landside will be planted on the pollinators. Soil prepail accordance with the Exconstructed Landside led by CDFW. The native ction and prior to or detailly provide irrigation to via drill-seeding or see ground (e.g., using a had tackifier; this method lich and tackifier. To enweed maintenance—will ude monitoring to enswith a minimum prescript the appropriate time at the appropriate time at the appropriate time are duration of the fundatible habitat enhancement and encroaching into and a habitat enhancement and of Water Resources (as a part of habitat enhancement with habitat	The invasive plant giant reed (Arundo of the Project footprint. All cleared mate of the levee or moved offsite. After level landside slope for erosion protection a fration, seeding, and monitoring and Delta Levees Habitat Program Guide to Levee Slope (CDFW and DWR, unpublisive grass seed mix will be appropriate to during the rainy season will help minimize to support seed germination. Depending the broadcasting. Another seeding method is different than typical hydroseeding insure the permanency of the native will be implemented. If funding is availated blade height from the ground, and is efor best weed control. The District will ding agreement. The District has ment areas on the landside of the levee eated or enhanced, using nursery plants can be utilized for habitat enhancement adjacent toe ditch provides a natural disturbing the habitat area. The areas according to a future management (DWR) and CDFW. The terms of the chancement planning and implementation plect design to comply with funding sancement; in this case, landside levee wildlife in support of a healthy ecosyster any potential project impacts. Bacon-O	erial vee us sheck soil ze ghod area ll , s, tion. m.	
	AN CHAPTER 5						
<u>DP P</u>	1 / 23 CCR SECTION 501	<u>.0</u> - Locate New Urban I	Development Wise	ly			
Is the	e covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy? <u>Appendi</u>	<u>c 6</u> and <u>Appendix 7</u> are	e referenced in this regulatory policy.		
	YES		NO	$\overline{\checkmark}$	N/A		
	Answer Justification:	The covered action do	es not involve new	residential, commercia	ial, or industrial development.;		
DP P	2 / 23 CCR SECTION 501	<u>.1</u> - Respect Local Land	Use When Siting W	/ater or Flood Facilities	es or Restoring Habitats		
Is the	e covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy?				
	YES		NO	$\overline{\checkmark}$	N/A		
	Answer Justification:	The covered action do flood management inf		siting of water manage	ement facilities, ecosystem restoration,	or	

D1 Drievitication of Ctor								
RR P1 - Prioritization of State Investments in Delta Levees and Risk Reduction								
ne covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy?						
YES		NO		N/A				
Answer Justification:			s 1, 2 a	and 3 as found in RR P1 (23 CCR Section				
RR P2 - Require Flood Protection for Residential Development in Rural Areas.								
ne covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy? <u>Appendix 7</u> is referenced	in this	regulatory policy.				
YES		NO	\checkmark	N/A				
Answer Justification:	The covered action do	es not involve new residential devel	opme	nt of five or more parcels.				
P3 - Protect Floodways								
ne covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy?						
YES		NO	\checkmark	N/A				
Answer Justification:	The covered action do	es not encroach within any floodwa	у.					
P4 - Floodplain Protection	on							
ne covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy?						
YES		NO	\checkmark	N/A				
Answer Justification:	(1) The Yolo Bypass wi (2) The Cosumnes Rive Ecosystem Restoration Department of Water Resources 2010); and (3) The Lower San Joac of Stockton immediate Interstate 5 crossing. T	ithin the Delta; er-Mokelumne River Confluence, as on Project (McCormack-Williamson), of Resources or the U.S. Army Corps of quin River Floodplain Bypass area, lot ely southwest of Paradise Cut on lan This area is described in the Lower Sa	define or as n Engin cated ds bot an Joa	ed by the North Delta Flood Control and modified in the future by the California neers (California Department of Water on the Lower San Joaquin River upstream th upstream and downstream of the quin River Floodplain Bypass Proposal,				
	Agency, the River Islan Conservation District,	nds Development Company, Reclama American Rivers, the American Land	ation E s Cons					
	Answer Justification: P2 - Require Flood Prote The covered action consis YES Answer Justification: P3 - Protect Floodways The covered action consis YES Answer Justification: P4 - Floodplain Protection The covered action consis YES	Answer Justification: This is a sustainable H 5012). Bacon-Old Rive P2 - Require Flood Protection for Residential December covered action consistent with this regulator YES Answer Justification: The covered action do P3 - Protect Floodways The covered action do P4 - Floodplain Protection The covered action do P4 - Floodplain Protection The covered action do (1) The Yolo Bypass wi (2) The Cosumnes Rive Ecosystem Restoration Department of Water Resources 2010); and (3) The Lower San Joac of Stockton immediate Interstate 5 crossing.	Answer Justification: This is a sustainable HMP project and consistent with goal: 5012). Bacon-Old River IS-MND Final 051117 clean.pdf 22 - Require Flood Protection for Residential Development in Rural Areas. The covered action consistent with this regulatory policy? Appendix 7 is referenced in the covered action does not involve new residential devel in No. Answer Justification: The covered action does not involve new residential devel in No. Answer Justification: The covered action does not encroach within any floodware. PES NO Answer Justification: The covered action does not encroach in any of the follow (1) The Yolo Bypass within the Delta; (2) The Cosumnes River-Mokelumne River Confluence, as Ecosystem Restoration Project (McCormack-Williamson), on Department of Water Resources or the U.S. Army Corps of Resources 2010); and (3) The Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, loof Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lan Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, loof Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lan Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, loof Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lan Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, loof Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lan Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, loof Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lan Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, loof Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lan Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, loof Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lan Interstate 5 crossing.	Answer Justification: This is a sustainable HMP project and consistent with goals 1, 2 is 5012). Bacon-Old River IS-MND Final 051117 clean.pdf 22 - Require Flood Protection for Residential Development in Rural Areas. The covered action consistent with this regulatory policy? Appendix 7 is referenced in this YES				